

SECTION 00 91 13.02

ADDENDA

ADDENDUM NO. 2

1.1 PROJECT INFORMATION

- A. Project Name: Magna WRF Operations Building
- B. Project Number: 483-25-01
- C. Owner: MAGNA WATER DISTRICT
- D. Engineer: Bowen, Collins & Associates
- E. Date of Addendum: May 18, 2026

1.2 NOTICE TO PLANHOLDERS AND/OR BIDDERS

- A. This Addendum is issued to all registered plan holders pursuant to Section 00 21 13 - Instructions to Bidders and Section 00 70 00 – General Conditions. This Addendum serves to clarify, correct, and/or supersede information in the Specifications, Drawings, and previously issued Addenda (Contract Documents). Portions of the Addendum affecting the Contract Documents will be incorporated into the Contract by enumeration of the Addendum in the Owner/Contractor Agreement.
- B. Bidder must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the appropriate space on the Bid Form.

1.3 PRIOR ADDENDA

- A. Section 00 91 13.01 – Addendum No. 1, issued on May 8, 2026

1.4 BIDDING REQUIREMENTS, CONTRACT FORMS, AND CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT

- A. Bid Date:
 - 1. The date and time for receipt of Bids is unchanged by this Addendum: same time and location.

1.5 CLARIFICATIONS

- A. RFI-003 – Contractor Performed Work
Question: I was reviewing the supplemental language added to Paragraph 7.07.A regarding the requirement for the Contractor to perform not less than 50 percent of the Work with its own forces. Can you please clarify whether this requirement will be strictly enforced on this project, or if approval may be considered for subcontracting a greater portion of the Work?
Response: It is required on the Proposed Subcontractor Form located in Section 00 41 00 – Bid Form to indicate the percentage of the total contract that will be performed by a subcontractor. Reducing the requirement of 50 percent being performed by the

General Contractor may be considered, depending on which subcontractors are indicated on this form.

B. RFI-004 – Network Equipment

Question: On Page E-12 there is a note showing the SCADA rack in the network room. There are not any details or information on the plans to what is required. Is this being supplied by the District and installed by the EC?

Response: See Appendix B of the specifications for information regarding what is being supplied by the Owner's Integrator.

C. RFI-005 – Door Schedule

Question: Can you give and further clarification/basis of design for the sliding doors (103A and 103B)? The cuts on GA-01 appear to be a storefront style sliding door, but the manufactures listed in the spec point to a more traditional patio style sliding door.

Response: The sliding doors 103A and 103B and intended to be a 3-part single sliding door that meets the requirements of Spec 08 18 16.23 and the requirements noted on sheet A-12. Acceptable examples, but not limited to, could be an HSW 66 system by Nanawall, Sitrine System by Jeld-Wen, or Reserve System by Pella.

D. RFI-006 – Rock Mulch

1. Question: I noticed that the updated C-04 sheet includes a new note stating, "ROCK MULCH (PERVIOUS AREA), SEE LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS," with a leader pointing to the area of the current RV Dump. However, the current landscape drawings do not appear to show or call out any rock mulch in that area. Should we anticipate updated landscape drawings in a future addendum to address this item?

Response: The General Contractor will need to provide and install the Rock Mulch that is shown on Sheet C-04. The Rock Mulch should match the existing that is already installed along the roadway. Sheet C-04 and the landscape plans will be updated in the Conformed Drawing set to reflect this requirement.

E. RFI-007 – Roofing

1. Question: The roof assembly seems to be vapor barrier, 1/2" DensDeck, two 2.6" polyiso (R-30) then TPO fully adhered. Simple. However on several detail drawings, specifically GA-04 there are details regarding 6" rubber cant strip. I have not found a single supplier for this. Second, TPO and PVC do not require cant. Manufacturers guarantee their product at 90 degree turns and vertical wall transitions. I can use another manufacturer for cant, but it will not be 6". Can you clarify this for me?

Response: The canting material was included in the design to ensure that corners were reinforced and could handle the draining of the roof. If the roofing material manufacturer has a warranty that states their material is ok to install with 90 degree turns, it acceptable to not include cant in the installation. It will not be acceptable to have joints at the base of the parapet wall.

1.6 ATTACHMENTS

1. None

END OF SECTION

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK